## Massachusetts School Building Authority Board Meeting Minutes of August 25, 2021

A meeting of the Massachusetts School Building Authority (the "Authority" or "MSBA") was held on August 25, 2021. The meeting was held remotely via Zoom.

**Members Present:** Deborah Goldberg, State Treasurer and Chair; Sean Cronin, Designee of the Secretary of Administration and Finance; Matt Deninger, Designee of the Commissioner of Education; Terry Kwan, appointed member; Anne Brockelman, appointed member; Greg Sullivan, appointed member; and Sheila Vanderhoef, appointed member.

Others Present: James MacDonald, Chief Executive Officer; Jack McCarthy, Executive Director/Deputy Chief Executive Officer; Mary Pichetti; Laura Guadagno; Christine Nolan; Matt Donovan; Maria Puopolo; Stacy Patino; Barbara Hansberry; Diane Sullivan; Brian Kelley; Colleen Smith; Mike Bergquist; Karl Brown; John Jumpe; Mike McGurl; Christy Murray; Marie Deslauriers; municipal representatives; legislative representatives; local elected officials; and other members of the MSBA and the public.

#### Call to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. and asked if anyone is recording the meeting. No one announced that they were recording the meeting.

She then explained that the meeting is being held subject to Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 signed into law by Governor Baker on June 16, 2021, which permits remote participation by all Board members and allows the MSBA to use adequate alternative means, such as a conference call line, to ensure public access to the deliberations of the Board.

Next, the Chair provided a brief overview of the items on the agenda for the meeting. She explained that the Board will be voting to: approve minutes from the June 23, 2021 Board of Directors meeting; invite one district into Feasibility Study; remove two projects from one district from the MSBA Accelerated Repair Program; execute Project Funding Agreements for nine projects from seven districts in the Accelerated Repair Program, with a combined Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$25.1 million; and authorize four proposed projects to proceed into Preferred Schematic Design, with a combined estimated \$377.8 million in total construction costs. The Board will also be voting to invite five districts to enter into Project Scope and Budget Agreements and Project Funding Agreements, with a combined Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of approximately \$261.8 million. Additionally, the Board will be voting to approve eleven final audits from six districts with a combined Final Total Facilities Grant of approximately \$15.5 million. Finally, the Board will receive updates from Capital Planning, Finance and Legal staff.

## Acceptance of the June 23, 2021 Minutes

The Chair asked for a motion to accept the minutes of the June 23, 2021 Board meeting. A motion was made by Ms. Kwan and seconded by Ms. Vanderhoef:

## Approval of the Minutes of the June 23, 2021 Board Meeting

VOTED: That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby

approves the Minutes of the June 23, 2021 Board meeting.

There being no Board discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

### The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

## **Project Invitation to Feasibility Study**

The MSBA's Director of Program Management, Diane Sullivan, explained that staff are recommending that the Board vote to invite the City of North Adams into Feasibility Study. She explained that this is the final district from the eleven 2019 Core Program Eligibility Period invitations.

The City of North Adams was invited into Eligibility Period in December of 2019 for the Greylock Elementary School and has completed all the necessary prerequisites including: the filing of their educational profile questionnaire, finalizing enrollment, and securing feasibility study/schematic design funds.

Prior to the City's Eligibility Period commencement date of May 1, 2020, City officials expressed concern with their ability to begin working with the MSBA and requested an extension to September 1, 2020, due to impacts from COVID-19. After further conversations, the MSBA agreed to provide the new commencement date.

Additionally, as a result of discussions surrounding district enrollment and capacity, the City requested and received an extension to the 270 days period of time provided in the Eligibility Period to allow for continued conversations with the MSBA to conclude on enrollment and to complete the local actions and approvals necessary to secure Feasibility Study funding. The MSBA agreed to a 30-day extension through June 28, 2021.

The MSBA will be working with the City of North Adams to study consolidation options, which will include Brayton Elementary School, given the steep decline in overall district enrollment projected in the next ten years.

The Director of Program Management noted that MSBA staff appreciate the communications they have had with the Mayor and Superintendent resulting from their local conversation to recommend this approach to the study work ahead.

Based on the completion of the Eligibility Period requirements, staff recommend that the Board vote to authorize the City of North Adams to proceed into Feasibility Study.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

## Vote to Invite the City of North Adams to Collaborate with the MSBA to Conduct a Feasibility Study

VOTED:

That the Executive Director is hereby authorized to invite the City of North Adams to collaborate with the MSBA to conduct and/or review a Feasibility Study, as described in 963 CMR 2.00 *et seq.*, and subject to any conditions set forth in Exhibit A, for the Greylock Elementary School as listed in Exhibit A attached hereto; that the Executive Director is hereby authorized to do all acts, to execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and to expend all funds necessary or desirable in connection with conducting and/or reviewing a Feasibility Study for the Greylock Elementary School as listed in Exhibit A.

The Executive Director noted that North Adams Mayor Thomas Bernard sent a letter in support of the proposed project.

North Adams Superintendent Barbara Malkas commented that the City appreciates the support from the MSBA. She noted that the District has a declining enrollment and is determining how to best use its school facilities. She also noted that the Mayor provided a letter offering his support for the proposed project.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

## The motion passed – 7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Chair invited Boston Acting Mayor Kim Janey to speak. The Chair commented that she encourages the Board members to visit the Carter School in Boston, which is on the agenda today for invitation into the Project Scope and Budget phase.

Boston Acting Mayor Kim Janey commented that she is grateful for the Chair's leadership. She then thanked Jim MacDonald, Jack McCarthy, Matt Donovan, and the Board. She commented that she wants to acknowledge everyone involved with the Carter School project. She noted that she is excited that the project will meet the needs of the students at the Carter School and commented that

the partnership with the MSBA is important. She continued, commenting that she is thrilled to be here today and hoping for a positive vote from the Board. She noted the dedication of the staff at the Carter School and expressed her gratitude to everyone for their support.

## **Project Removal from Accelerated Repair Program**

Next, the MSBA's Deputy Director of Capital Planning, Mike McGurl, explained that at the June 26, 2019 Board of Directors meeting, the Town of Sandwich received an invitation for boiler replacement projects at the Forestdale School and the Oak Ridge School. The District subsequently received authorization for a Project Funding Agreement at the June 24, 2020 MSBA Board meeting, where the District also received an extension of 110 days beyond the 90-day deadline to appropriate full project funds.

In response to a letter from the District dated October 28, 2020, the MSBA also approved an additional extension through June 30, 2021 to complete actions associated with the local vote. These two extensions provided the District over one year to secure its local funding in a MSBA program that provides 90 days.

The MSBA then received a third extension request from the District in a letter dated May 4, 2021. The letter requested an extension through December 31, 2021. The MSBA responded that a third extension could not be provided as the District continued to evaluate both its approach to obtaining local funding as well as the scope of the projects. In response, the District executed and returned Declarations of Removals for each Statement of Interest. Staff recommends removal of the Statements of Interest from the Accelerated Repair Program.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

# Vote on Removing the Town of Sandwich's Accelerated Repair Statements of Interest from the MSBA Accelerated Repair Program

VOTED:

That, whereas, on June 26, 2019, the Board voted to invite the Town of Sandwich to participate in the MSBA's Accelerated Repair Program for the Forestdale School and the Oak Ridge School; and, whereas, as described in the materials attached hereto as Exhibit B, the Town of Sandwich has notified the MSBA that it has decided not to further pursue its Accelerated Repair Statements of Interest or Accelerated Repair Program invitations for the Forestdale School and the Oak Ridge School, the Board hereby removes the Town of Sandwich's Accelerated Repair Statements of Interest for the Forestdale School and the Oak Ridge School from the MSBA Accelerated Repair Program and authorizes the Executive Director to do all acts necessary and execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements in connection with such removal.

There being no Board discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes

Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

### The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

### **Accelerated Repair Program Project Funding Agreement Authorization**

Next, the Deputy Director of Capital Planning explained that prior to today's Board meeting, MSBA staff received Schematic Design submissions from seven Districts representing nine schools. The school projects combine for four roof replacement projects, five window/door replacement projects and one boiler replacement project. MSBA staff has reviewed the existing conditions analysis and proposed schedule provided by each District and has discussed the scope and budget with the Districts and their consultants. The Districts have provided combined Total Project Budgets of approximately \$45.2 million, which include total construction estimates of \$37.0 million. The combined Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grants for these projects are \$25,112,728. Including potentially eligible project contingencies, the combined Maximum Facilities Grants are \$26,302,180. In conclusion, staff recommends that the seven Districts, representing nine schools, receive authorization to enter into Project Funding Agreements with the MSBA.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

# Vote to Authorize the Executive Director to Execute Project Funding Agreements for the Proposed Accelerated Repair Projects

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors hereby approves the Proposed Accelerated Repair Projects, as set forth below and as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto, and as such the Proposed Projects shall be further defined in the Project Funding Agreements, and authorizes the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to execute and deliver Project Funding Agreements with the Districts set forth below, in such forms as he may determine to be acceptable, and expend funds in accordance with such Project Funding Agreements, in accordance with the following:

District	School	Scope	Total Project Budget	Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant	Maximum Total Facilities Grant
	Teaticket Elementary				
Falmouth	School	Roof	\$3,723,264	\$1,327,390	\$1,389,523
Florida	Abbott Memorial School	Windows/Doors	\$1,656,391	\$1,059,829	\$1,103,794
	Plymouth River				
Hingham	Elementary School	Windows/Doors	\$3,993,600	\$1,110,680	\$1,156,958
	Lynn Vocational				
Lynn	Technical Institute	Windows/Doors	\$14,150,991	\$8,315,818	\$8,719,536

The August 25, 2021 Board Meeting minutes were approved by the MSBA's Board of Directors at the October 27, 2021 meeting.

	Elwyn G. Campbell	Windows/Doors;			
New Bedford	Elementary School	Boiler	\$7,924,694	\$3,155,441	\$3,298,715
Holyoke	E.N. White				
	Elementary School	Partial Roof	\$2,634,388	\$1,946,936	\$2,041,950
	Lt. Clayre P. Sullivan				
	School	Partial Roof	\$2,038,497	\$1,502,342	\$1,574,882
	Maurice A. Donahue				
	School	Partial Roof	\$2,798,769	\$2,057,662	\$2,158,062
	Springfield High School				
	of	Partial			
Springfield	Science and Technology	Windows/Doors	\$6,275,387	\$4,636,630	\$4,858,760
		Totals	\$45,195,981	\$25,112,728	\$26,302,180

Mr. Cronin commented that he would like to ask the City of Lynn how the City will be funding its share of the proposed project. He noted that he will follow up with the City.

James Riefstahl, Owner's Project Manager for Falmouth, thanked the MSBA and Board on behalf of the Town. He noted that the Town is excited for the partnership with the MSBA.

The Executive Director noted that two individuals from the Town of Florida are on the call but would not be speaking in the interest of time.

Vice-Chair of the Hingham School Building Committee Ray Estes thanked the MSBA and Board and commented that the Town is thrilled for this opportunity. He noted that there is broad community support for the proposed project.

The Executive Director noted that Senator John Velis sent a letter offering his support for the proposed projects in the City of Holyoke.

Holyoke Superintendent Anthony Soto thanked the Chair and the Board on behalf of the City.

New Bedford Assistant Superintendent of Finance and Operations Andrew O'Leary thanked the Board, Jack McCarthy, and the Chair for the support.

The Executive Director noted that Senator Adam Gomez sent a letter offering his support for the proposed Springfield High School of Science and Technology project in Springfield.

Springfield Director of Capital Asset Construction Peter Garvey thanked the Board and commented that the City looks forward to continuing to work with the MSBA.

The Chair commented that Springfield Mayor Dominic Sarno always reaches out to her to thank her for the support.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

## The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Then, the MSBA's Director of Capital Planning, Mary Pichetti, commented that she wants to take a moment to acknowledge the efforts of the districts and their teams who submitted preferred schematics and project scope and budgets for this Board meeting.

She explained that project approvals tend to come in waves as many of the MSBA's districts are facing similar schedules for local funding approvals. This August was no exception as the MSBA saw a workload of nine projects lined up for both Preferred Schematic review and Project Scope and Budget approval. Prior to the submittal deadline, staff reached out to the districts to explain the situation and request early submittal of either parts of the submittal and/or the entire submittal prior to the posted deadline. The MSBA understands that districts have a lot of public outreach and local votes to take right up to the MSBA deadline, so the MSBA knew it was a big ask.

She commented that she is thankful to share that all of the districts took the MSBA's request under advisement and six of the nine were able to provide some information early and then also provide the whole submittal early. She noted that she wants to thank them for their efforts to adjust to the request and even though nine reviews are a large volume to process for one Board, this effort made the task more manageable.

She offered her thanks to the MSBA staff on the receiving end of this volume for rising to the occasion to make sure all districts submitting were successfully reviewed for this Board meeting.

Then, the Chair commented that she wants to thank the Director of Capital Planning. She noted that the Director of Capital Planning leads in a supportive way.

### **Recommendation for Preferred Schematic Design**

Next, the MSBA's Director of Project Management, John Jumpe, explained that the Board is being asked to approve the Preferred Schematic Design for the Dr. Philip O. Coakley Middle School in the Town of Norwood. The recommendation is to replace the Dr. Philip O. Coakley Middle School with a new facility on the existing site. The proposed project is designed to serve 1,070 students in grades 5-8 and has an estimated construction budget of approximately \$105 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Kwan and seconded by Ms. Vanderhoef:

### Vote on Moving the Town of Norwood to Schematic Design

VOTED: That the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Executive Director to do all acts necessary, execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and expend

funds as deemed appropriate by the Executive Director to assist the Town of Norwood with, in concept, the development of schematic designs to replace the Dr. Philip O. Coakley Middle School with a new facility serving students in grades 5-8 on the existing site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto, in accordance with G.L. c. 70B and 963 CMR 2.00 *et seq.* and all other guidelines and policies of the Authority.

Mr. Cronin asked the MSBA to explain the difference in the construction costs among new construction projects.

The Director of Project Management explained that often there are site costs that contribute to the higher overall cost. For example, the proposed Carter School project in Boston will be built next to the MBTA, and it is also a unique project. He noted that other projects may make certain decisions during the design process or have existing conditions that lead to a higher construction cost.

Ms. Kwan commented that this illustrates the difference between the wealthier communities and gateway communities, in terms of what they can afford.

The Executive Director commented that each project is different and has its own story. He commented that he can provide additional information regarding specific projects to Mr. Cronin.

The Executive Director noted that Representative John Rogers and Senator Michael Rush sent a letter offering their support for the proposed project at the Dr. Philip O. Coakley Middle School in Norwood. He commented that Representative Rogers was in attendance but had to leave the meeting.

Norwood Superintendent David Thomson introduced others that were on the call and thanked the MSBA for this opportunity.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

## The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Director of Project Management explained that the Board is being asked to approve the Preferred Schematic Design for the Tyngsborough Middle School in the Town of Tyngsborough. The recommendation is to replace the existing Tyngsborough Middle School with a new facility on the existing site. The proposed project is designed to serve 480 students in grades 6-8 and has an estimated construction budget of approximately \$66 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

## Vote on Moving the Town of Tyngsborough to Schematic Design

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Executive Director to do all acts necessary, execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and expend funds as deemed appropriate by the Executive Director to assist the Town of Tyngsborough with, in concept, the development of schematic designs to replace the existing Tyngsborough Middle School with a new facility serving grades 6-8 on the existing site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto, in accordance with G.L. c. 70B and 963 CMR 2.00 *et seq.* and all other guidelines and policies of the Authority.

The Executive Director noted that Representative Colleen Garry had to leave the meeting but indicated her support earlier for the proposed project at the Tyngsborough Middle School.

Rebecca Stanton, Chair of the Tyngsborough School Committee and member of the School Building Committee, thanked the MSBA, Christine Forde, Sarah Przybylowicz, and the IT team on behalf of the Town.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

### The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Director of Project Management explained that the Board is being asked to approve the Preferred Schematic Design for the Watertown High School in the Town of Watertown. The recommendation is to replace the existing Watertown High School with a new facility on the existing site. The proposed project is designed to serve 720 students in grades 9-12 and has an estimated construction budget of approximately \$136 million. The recommendation includes an extension of the Feasibility Study Agreement from December 12, 2021 to February 28, 2022.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Kwan and seconded by Ms. Vanderhoef:

# Vote on Moving the Town of Watertown to Schematic Design and Extend the Term of the Feasibility Study Agreement

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Executive Director to do all acts necessary, execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and expend funds as deemed appropriate by the Executive Director to assist the Town of Watertown with, in concept, the development of schematic designs to replace the existing Watertown High School with a new facility serving grades 9-12 on the existing site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto, in accordance with G.L. c. 70B and 963 CMR 2.00 *et seq.* and all other guidelines and policies of the Authority.

VOTED:

Whereas, the Town of Watertown requires additional time to complete the work required to finalize its feasibility study and schematic design, the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Executive Director to extend the termination date of the Feasibility Study Agreement between the MSBA and the Town of Watertown, as part of its Invitation to Feasibility Study for the Watertown High School, from December 12, 2021 to February 28, 2022, and the Executive Director is hereby authorized to do all acts and things, to execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and to expend all funds necessary or desirable in connection with conducting and/or reviewing this Feasibility Study for the Watertown High School.

The Executive Director noted that Senator William Brownsberger and Representative Steven Owens sent a letter offering their support for the proposed project at the Watertown High School.

Mark Sideris, Town Council President and Chair of the Watertown School Building Committee, thanked the MSBA for offering comments regarding the proposed project. He noted that the Town worked through the comments and came up with a better project.

Watertown Superintendent Deanne Galdston thanked the MSBA and commented that she looks forward to the next phase in the process.

MSBA Chief Executive Officer Jim MacDonald commented that Ms. Kwan, Ms. Brockelman, and Mr. Deninger put in a lot of hard work on this proposed project, as part of the Facilities Assessment Subcommittee, and he wants to compliment them for doing so.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Director of Project Management explained that the Board is being asked to approve the Preferred Schematic Design for the Bartlett High School in the Town of Webster. The recommendation is for a renovation project at the existing Bartlett High School. The proposed project, which includes district-wide PreK, is designed to serve 445 students in grades 9-12 and has an estimated construction budget of approximately \$71 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

## **Vote on Moving the Town of Webster to Schematic Design**

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Executive Director to do all acts necessary, execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and expend funds as deemed appropriate by the Executive Director to assist the Town of Webster with, in concept, the development of schematic designs for a renovation project at the existing Bartlett High School that will continue to serve students in grades 9-12 and will incorporate district-wide pre-kindergarten, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto, in accordance with G.L. c. 70B and 963 CMR 2.00 *et seq.* and all other guidelines and policies of the Authority.

The Executive Director noted that Senator Ryan Fattman and Representative Joseph McKenna sent a letter offering their support for the proposed project at the Bartlett High School in Webster.

Webster Superintendent Ruthann Petruno Goguen commented that Webster is a unique community and that the timing of this proposed project is wonderful. She noted that she looks forward to working together with the MSBA.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

## **Approval of Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Authorization for Project Funding Agreement**

Then, the MSBA's Design Director, Karl Brown, explained that the Board is now being asked to approve a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and to authorize the MSBA to enter into a Project Funding Agreement for a project at the William E. Carter School in the City of Boston. The recommendation is to replace the existing William E. Carter School with a new facility serving

students in grades PK-12 on the existing site. The proposed project has a design enrollment of 60 students. The District provided a Total Project Budget of approximately \$91.9 million, which includes an estimated construction cost of approximately \$68.9 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Ms. Kwan:

# Vote to Authorize the Executive Director to Execute a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the City of Boston

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby approves the Potential Project to replace the existing William E. Carter School with a new facility serving students in grades PK-12 on the existing site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto and as such Proposed Project shall be further defined in the Project Scope and Budget Agreement, and authorizes the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to execute and deliver a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the City of Boston, in such forms as he may determine to be acceptable, for the William E. Carter School project at a reimbursement rate of sixty-four and thirty-one hundredths percent (64.31%) of approved, eligible costs, up to an Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$25,606,126, which shall not include any funds for owner's or construction contingency expenditures; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to increase the Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant up to a Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$26,270,658, in the event that the MSBA determines, in its sole discretion, that any owner's and/or construction contingency expenditures are eligible for reimbursement pursuant to MSBA regulations, guidelines, or policies; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to expend funds in accordance with such Project Funding Agreement.

Ms. Kwan commented that the proposed Carter School project could be used as a case study, and she encouraged those involved with the project to consider this.

The Chair noted that she agrees with Ms. Kwan's comment. She commented that the school will draw in others and will be a model for special education.

Boston Superintendent Brenda Cassellius thanked Jack McCarthy, Jim MacDonald, Matt Deninger, and the Chair. She then thanked Principal Mark O'Connor and others in the City. She noted that the City Council already voted ahead of schedule and then commented that she appreciates everyone's support.

Carter School Principal Mark O'Connor commented that he is overwhelmed by the support. He noted that the Superintendent has been an amazing supporter of the project. He then thanked the Chair, Jim MacDonald, Jack McCarthy, and everyone involved.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

#### The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Design Director explained that the Board is now being asked to approve a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and to authorize the MSBA to enter into a Project Funding Agreement for a project at the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational High School in the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational School District. The recommendation is to replace the existing Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational High School with a new facility on the site of the existing school. The proposed project has a design enrollment of 1,600 students serving grades 9-12. The District provided a Total Project Budget of approximately \$317.4 million, which includes an estimated construction cost of approximately \$243.6 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

Vote to Authorize the Executive Director to Execute a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational School District

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby approves the Potential Project to replace the existing Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational High School with a new facility on the site of the existing school, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto and as such Proposed Project shall be further defined in the Project Scope and Budget Agreement, and authorizes the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to execute and deliver a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational School District, in such forms as he may determine to be acceptable, for the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational High School project at a reimbursement rate of seventy-six and eighty-four hundredths percent (76.84%) of approved, eligible costs, up to an Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$138,044,288, which shall not include any funds for owner's or construction contingency expenditures; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to increase the Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant up to a Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$140,851,919, in the event that the MSBA determines, in its sole discretion, that any owner's and/or construction contingency expenditures are eligible for reimbursement pursuant to MSBA regulations, guidelines, or policies; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to expend funds in accordance with such Project Funding Agreement.

The Chair commented that especially during her tenure as the MSBA Chair, the MSBA has been working hard with vocational schools. She noted these are not easy projects and often require multiple community votes. She commented that these schools provide exceptional skills to students and there are excellent paying jobs in the vocational technical field.

Mr. Deninger commented that he wants to disclose that he lives in one of the communities in the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational School District.

Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational School District Superintendent David DiBarri thanked everyone involved with the project. He commented that it is amazing that a Stoneham student will be able to choose between the new Northeast Metro High School and the proposed Stoneham High School project, also on today's agenda.

Mr. Cronin commented that he also wants to disclose that he lives in one of the communities in the Northeast Metropolitan Regional Vocational School District.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

#### The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Design Director explained that the Board is now being asked to approve a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and to authorize the MSBA to enter into a Project Funding Agreement for a project at the Stoneham High School in the Town of Stoneham. The recommendation is to replace the existing Stoneham High School with a new facility serving grades 9-12 on the existing site. The proposed project has a design enrollment of 695 students. The District provided a Total Project Budget of approximately \$189.6 million, which includes an estimated construction cost of approximately \$153.4 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Ms. Kwan:

# Vote to Authorize the Executive Director to Execute a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Town of Stoneham

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby approves the Potential Project to replace the existing Stoneham High School with a new facility serving grades 9-12 on the existing site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto and as such Proposed Project shall be further defined in the Project Scope and Budget Agreement, and authorizes

the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to execute and deliver a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Town of Stoneham, in such forms as he may determine to be acceptable, for the Stoneham High School project at a reimbursement rate of fifty-five and ten hundredths percent (55.10%) of approved, eligible costs, up to an Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$47,700,057, which shall not include any funds for owner's or construction contingency expenditures; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to increase the Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant up to a Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$48,968,062, in the event that the MSBA determines, in its sole discretion, that any owner's and/or construction contingency expenditures are eligible for reimbursement pursuant to MSBA regulations, guidelines, or policies; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to expend funds in accordance with such Project Funding Agreement.

Mr. Cronin commented that he wants to disclose that he is a resident of Stoneham.

Representative Mike Day thanked Jack McCarthy and the Chair. He commented that he hopes for a favorable vote today and that his office is available to provide any assistance.

Stoneham Superintendent John Macero introduced others that were on the call and thanked the MSBA and the Board.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

### The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Design Director explained that the Board is now being asked to approve a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and to authorize the MSBA to enter into a Project Funding Agreement for a project at the Bird Middle School in the Town of Walpole. The recommendation is to replace the existing Bird Middle School and Eleanor Johnson Middle School with a new facility serving students in grades 6-8 (district-wide) on the existing Bird Middle School site. The proposed project has a design enrollment of 905 students. The District provided a Total Project Budget of approximately \$115.8 million, which includes an estimated construction cost of approximately \$92.6 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

# Vote to Authorize the Executive Director to Execute a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Town of Walpole

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby approves the Potential Project to replace the existing Bird Middle School and Eleanor Johnson Middle School with a new facility serving students in grades 6-8 (districtwide) on the existing Bird Middle School site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto and as such Proposed Project shall be further defined in the Project Scope and Budget Agreement, and authorizes the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to execute and deliver a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Town of Walpole, in such forms as he may determine to be acceptable, for the Bird Middle School project at a reimbursement rate of fifty-two and twenty-two hundredths percent (52.22%) of approved, eligible costs, up to an Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$37,248,947, which shall not include any funds for owner's or construction contingency expenditures; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to increase the Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant up to a Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$37,974,281, in the event that the MSBA determines, in its sole discretion, that any owner's and/or construction contingency expenditures are eligible for reimbursement pursuant to MSBA regulations, guidelines, or policies; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to expend funds in accordance with such Project Funding Agreement.

The Executive Director noted that Senator Paul Feeney, Representative John Rogers, Representative Paul McMurtry, Representative Shawn Dooley, and Representative Edward Philips sent a letter offering their support for the proposed Bird Middle School project in Walpole.

Walpole Superintendent Bridget Gough introduced others that were on the call and thanked the MSBA for the support. She noted that the project has been able to stay on schedule and that the work involved with this project is hard but exciting.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

## The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

Next, the Design Director explained that the Board is now being asked to approve a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and to authorize the MSBA to enter into a Project Funding Agreement for a project at the John D. Hardy Elementary School in the Town of Wellesley. The recommendation is

to replace the existing Ernest F. Upham Elementary School and the existing John D. Hardy Elementary School with a new facility serving students in grades K-5 on the existing John D. Hardy Elementary School site. The proposed project has a design enrollment of 365 students. The District provided a Total Project Budget of approximately \$72.5 million, which includes an estimated construction cost of approximately \$55.6 million.

The Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Deninger:

## Vote to Authorize the Executive Director to Execute a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Town of Wellesley

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby approves the Potential Project to consolidate and replace the existing Ernest F. Upham Elementary School and the existing John D. Hardy Elementary School with a new facility serving students in grades K-5 on the existing John D. Hardy Elementary School site, as further described in the materials presented to the Board and attached hereto and as such Proposed Project shall be further defined in the Project Scope and Budget Agreement, and authorizes the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to execute and deliver a Project Scope and Budget Agreement and Project Funding Agreement with the Town of Wellesley, in such forms as he may determine to be acceptable, for the John D. Hardy Elementary School project at a reimbursement rate of thirty-four and ninety hundredths percent (34.90%) of approved, eligible costs, up to an Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$13,217,621, which shall not include any funds for owner's or construction contingency expenditures; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to increase the Estimated Maximum Total Facilities Grant up to a Maximum Total Facilities Grant of \$13,508,529, in the event that the MSBA determines, in its sole discretion, that any owner's and/or construction contingency expenditures are eligible for reimbursement pursuant to MSBA regulations, guidelines, or policies; that the Board of Directors hereby further authorizes the Executive Director to expend funds in accordance with such Project Funding Agreement.

Wellesley School Committee and School Building Committee member Melissa Martin thanked the MSBA for the partnership on behalf of the Town.

There being no more discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

## The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

The Chair briefly stepped away from the meeting and Acting Chair Jim MacDonald presided over a portion of the meeting.

#### Audit

The MSBA's Chief Financial Officer, Laura Guadagno, thanked Wei Xu and the Audit team, in conjunction with the Capital Planning team, for their hard work. She also thanked Gianpiero Tirella and the Treasury team. She began her audit update by explaining that the MSBA has completed final audits for 579 Capital Pipeline Program projects plus the eleven projects that are being presented for final approval to the Board today, all together representing approximately \$6.9 billion in submitted and audited costs.

She continued, explaining that the MSBA has also audited approximately \$4.9 billion in submitted costs for the 226 projects currently submitting monthly requests for reimbursement. Based on the Total Project Budget information and submitted costs to date of approved projects, the MSBA estimates that approximately \$3.6 billion in additional costs will be submitted for audit for these projects.

The Chief Financial Officer then explained that monthly submitted costs have averaged \$134.1 million over the 12 months preceding this Board meeting, and the total amount submitted and audited in this 12-month period equals approximately \$1.61 billion.

### Approval of Audits, New Program

The Chief Financial Officer explained that the Board is being asked to approve eleven Capital Pipeline Program audits listed on Exhibit C. She explained that the total combined grant amount for these eleven projects is \$15,514,321.

The Acting Chair asked for a motion, and the following motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Mr. Cronin:

### **Approval of Audit Costs for Several Projects**

VOTED:

That the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts School Building Authority hereby approves the final audited approved grant amounts for the projects listed in the spreadsheet attached hereto as Exhibit C and authorizes the Executive Director to make payments consistent with this vote.

The Chair returned to the meeting.

There being no Board discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

#### **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes

Sean Cronin – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

The motion passed -7 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

## **MSBA Updates**

## **Executive Director's Report**

The Executive Director began his report by thanking MSBA staff members for their hard work in preparing for the Board meeting. He noted that, since the June 23, 2021 Board meeting, the MSBA has visited or had meetings with individuals from 31 projects. As of August 20, 2021, four districts have voted affirmatively to appropriate feasibility study funds or full project funds. He explained that the MSBA anticipates 26 project visits or meetings in August, September and October and presented a list of upcoming district outreach plans as part of his PowerPoint presentation. He noted that in August, the MSBA conducted 16 in-person site visits for Accelerated Repair Program Statements of Interest and 11 Model School visits. He also noted that since the last Board meeting, the MSBA has participated in three outreach and milestone events, one groundbreaking and two topping off ceremonies. He presented a list of the events as part of his PowerPoint presentation.

Then, the Executive Director welcomed new hire Peter Falk as Project Manager II - Data, who previously worked at the MSBA, and congratulated Ashley Smith on the birth of her son Craig Joseph "CJ" on August 6, 2021. He also congratulated Hernica Jean-Charles on competing in the national Miss Black USA competition as Miss Black Massachusetts.

### **Accelerated Repair Program – Consultant Procurement**

MSBA Assistant Project Manager Marie Deslauriers provided an update on the MSBA's procurements for Owner's Project Managers and designers for the Accelerated Repair Program. She noted that the procurements will impact districts invited to the Accelerated Repair Program beginning in 2021 and concluding in 2023.

She explained that the MSBA received 44 responses from 34 firms in response to the Request for Responses ("RFR") for designers. Based on the Phase One review, one firm's response was disqualified without further consideration for failure to submit a complete response. MSBA staff completed a comprehensive review and evaluation of each response in accordance with the eight criteria outlined in the RFR. These evaluations were presented at the July 20, 2021 Designer Selection Panel meeting for the Panel's input and final evaluation. The Designer Selection Panel voted to approve the review committee's recommendations of (12) designers for roofing / window projects and (11) designers for boiler projects. Of note, seven firms were approved in both categories of work. She presented a PowerPoint with the list of recommended designers.

Next, she explained that the MSBA received 29 applications in response to the RFR for Owner's Project Managers. An overview of the Accelerated Repair Program and the procurement was given at the June 7, 2021 Owner's Project Manager Review Panel meeting for informational purposes. MSBA staff completed a comprehensive review and evaluation of each response in accordance with the eight criteria outlined in the RFR. The review committee recommended (13) Owner's Project

Managers for approval by the Executive Director and the recommendations were approved. She presented a PowerPoint with the list of recommended Owner's Project Managers.

### **Project Status Updates**

Next, the Deputy Director of Capital Planning provided an update on substantially completed projects. He explained that eight projects were scheduled to achieve substantial completion in 2020. Of the eight, six have achieved substantial completion and have provided supporting documents (Lexington, Marlborough, Saugus, Taunton, Cape Cod Regional Vocational Technical High School, and Natick).

Of the remaining two, the MSBA has received a substantial completion certificate from Somerville while the MSBA anticipates receipt of the substantial completion certificate for Braintree. Both projects are reporting pending revisions to their substantial completion dates. Meanwhile, 18 projects are scheduled to achieve substantial completion in 2021. The MSBA has received certificates of substantial completion from seven projects: Middleborough, Ludlow, Harvard, Shrewsbury, Danvers, Northbridge, and Springfield. Staff will continue to monitor the remaining 11 projects of which six are anticipating substantial completion by early September. Of the six, substantial completion has been reported for three, Worcester, Manchester Essex Regional, and Framingham, with certificates pending to the MSBA. The remaining three of six include Fall River, Foxborough, and Westport.

## **Project Overview Report**

The Deputy Director of Capital Planning then provided bid data for the MSBA's Accelerated Repair Program. He explained that for the 2019 Accelerated Repair Program, 37 of the 37 reported projects bid within the estimated budget. The final project is evaluating its schedule to bid for construction in 2022. The bid results will not be reported by the October 2021 Board of Directors meeting.

Next, the Deputy Director of Capital Planning presented a PowerPoint, including a summary of bid results for the Core Program. He reported that of the 14 Core Program projects scheduled to receive sub-bids in 2021, seven have reported DBB bids or GMP executions to date. Six of the reported bids came in within the estimated budget.

Then, the Deputy Director of Capital Planning presented a PowerPoint, including a bid summary for the Core Program. He summarized available data for anticipated Sub-Bids in August, September, and October and anticipated DBB Bids or GMP Executions in August, September and October.

Next, MSBA Project Manager Christy Murray presented interactive maps using Tableau for bidding information regarding MSBA projects. She explained that the MSBA is currently tracking 27 projects for filed sub bidding over the next three years. This includes all projects that have been approved for Preferred Schematic Design prior to today. The 27 projects have a total estimated construction budget of approximately \$3 billion.

For 2021, eight projects have already filed sub bids, leaving six projects remaining for the year. Those six projects have a total estimated construction budget of approximately \$806 million. The MSBA is tracking sub bids for Springfield and Waltham for the end of this month followed by Braintree in September. Sub bidding in 2021 will wrap up with Gloucester, Ashland, and Worcester Doherty in November and December.

Moving into 2022, the MSBA is currently tracking 16 projects for filed sub bids. These totals include all projects approved for Preferred Schematic Design. Those 16 projects have a total estimated construction budget of approximately \$1.3 billion. The MSBA anticipates that 2022 bidding will begin in late February and March with Andover, Boston, and Somerset.

She explained that for 2023, the MSBA now has five projects scheduled to bid with an estimated construction budget of approximately \$853 million. The 2023 map will continue to fill in as MSBA staff add the projects that were approved for Preferred Schematic at today's meeting and additional projects to be approved at future Board meetings.

## **Finance**

## **Sales Tax Collection Update**

The Chief Financial Officer thanked the MSBA's Treasurer, Gianpiero Tirella, for his hard work. Then, she presented a PowerPoint and provided an FY2021 SMART Collections update. The FY2021 unaudited collections for July 2020 through June 2021 are \$184.2 million (20.1%) higher than FY2020 audited collections for the same period.

She presented a slide with a graphical depiction of FY2017 – FY2021 Monthly SMART collections, and then year-to-date SMART collections by year from FY2017 – FY2021, as well as quarterly.

## **Grant Payments Update**

The Chief Financial Officer provided an update regarding MSBA grant payments for projects, noting that the MSBA has made \$46,743,402 in grant payments to date in Fiscal Year 2022. This is for 39 Capital Pipeline Program projects in 38 districts. She then explained that FY2022 Waiting List payments and Q1 Prior Grant payments will be made in September 2021.

## FY21 Final Year-End Spending and FY22 Budget Update

Next, the Chief Financial Officer thanked the MSBA's Director of Budget and Finance Administration, Julie Leonard, and provided the Board with an update on FY2021 Year-End spending. She presented a PowerPoint with the FY2021 budget compared to the actuals for salaries and benefits, administrative expenses, and grant program expenditures. Then, she provided the Board with an update on FY2022 spending to date.

### Legal

## **Litigation Update**

The MSBA's General Counsel spoke about two projects in the MSBA's pipeline that have been affected by project related ligation. First, she noted that, as detailed at previous Board meetings, reimbursement payments for the Doherty High School in Worcester have been placed on hold because of pending litigation related to the project. She added that placing payments on hold is standard when there is pending litigation. The General Counsel explained that the City is proceeding with the project at its own risk and without receiving reimbursement payments. The MSBA has continued to work with the City to receive project submittals and provide feedback. She noted that,

on July 27th, the Superior Court allowed the City's motion for dismissal. Barring an appeal, the MSBA will resume its normal operations with the City.

Next, the General Counsel spoke about litigation that is related to the East Gloucester Elementary School project in Gloucester. She explained that, as reported at prior Board meetings, the Authority was named as a defendant in a lawsuit related to this project in December 2020. The MSBA was named along with the City of Gloucester and the Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs. She noted that the plaintiffs are not seeking any monetary relief against the MSBA and the suit appears aimed solely at stopping the project. The General Counsel explained that the defendants, including the Authority, filed dispositive motions, all of which were heard and taken under advisement by the Superior Court on July 22, 2021. The General Counsel then explained that, on August 2, 2021, the Superior Court ordered that the City of Gloucester's Motion for Summary Judgment was allowed; the Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs' Motion to Dismiss was allowed; and the Authority's Motion for Summary Judgment was allowed. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs' Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief was dismissed. She concluded the legal presentation by explaining that MSBA staff will continue to update the Board on the status of the East Gloucester Elementary School project and any appeals.

Chief Executive Officer Jim MacDonald commented that he wants to acknowledge the role of those at the MSBA who may not be seen at the Board meetings. He acknowledged the IT team, Stacy Patino and Human Resources, Barbara Hansberry and Strategic Planning, and others at the MSBA. He then acknowledged Executive Director Jack McCarthy.

The Chair commented that the MSBA is the most outstanding state agency.

Mr. Sullivan agreed, noting that the MSBA is a leader of state agencies.

The Chair then thanked the Board members.

Mr. Cronin left the meeting.

The Chair asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting. A motion was made by Ms. Vanderhoef and seconded by Ms. Kwan.

There being no discussion, the Chair asked for a roll call vote of the Board.

## **Roll Call Vote:**

Anne Brockelman – Yes Terry Kwan – Yes Sheila Vanderhoef – Yes Matt Deninger – Yes Greg Sullivan – Yes Deborah Goldberg – Yes

The motion passed -6 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

List of documents and exhibits used at the meeting:

- PowerPoint Presentation
- June 23, 2021 Board Meeting Minutes
- Invitation to Feasibility Study
- Project Removal from the Accelerated Repair Program
- Project Funding Agreement Recommendations for the Accelerated Repair Program
- Recommendations for Preferred Schematic Design
- Invitations to Project Scope and Budget
- Audit Status Report
- Recommendation for Approval of Final Audits, Capital Pipeline Program
- Executive Director Report
- Accelerated Repair Program Consultant Procurement Update
- Project Overview Report
- Sales Tax Collection Update
- Grant Payments Update
- FY21 Final Year-End Spending and FY22 Budget Update
- Update on Projects with Pending Litigation